Response to Reviewers of IJoC #19734-cp: How Class Matters: Examining Working-Class Children's Home Technology Environments from a Developmental Perspective

## Dear Reviewers:

We thank you for your thoughtful and positive feedback on our manuscript. We apologize for the delay in submitting our response. As a result of the corresponding author changing institutions (and email addresses) over the summer of 2022, we did not know that a decision had been rendered on this manuscript until late October. We are delighted to have had this manuscript reviewed by two experts on social class and for the constructive challenges that your feedback provided for improving our work.

In the pages that follow, the reviewers will find responses to their feedback laid out by manuscript section (rather than ordered by reviewer), as this format best enabled us to consider the reviewers' requests side-by-side. The table summarizes each reviewer request, our response to that request, and the location where those changes can be found within the manuscript.

Reviewer	<b>Reviewer Comments</b>	Corresponding	Response from Authors	Page(s)
D	T '41 41 11 2 4 4 4 4 1 1	Section	We thank both reviewers for their	Revised
В	I agree with the authors' statement that social	Literature		2-7
	class is a largely overlooked feature in studies of	Review:	supportive feedback and their	
	children's' digital tech use (with a preference in	Defining Social	guidance on providing more	
	the literature for the more demographic "socio-	Class	substantive theorizing on social class.	
	economic status"), but the current structure of		We had kept the literature review brief	
	the piece doesn't allow them the space to fully		to remain within the word limit but	
	discuss the extant literature and articulate why		fully agree, upon reading the	
	social class is a necessary addition to it.		manuscript with fresh eyes, that it was	
			insufficient, especially in relation to	
	Personally, I'd have preferredmore on how		social class.	
	the authors conceptualized & operationalized the			
	cluster of variables Cherlin identifies (which the		Both reviewers provided excellent	
	authors reference, but only much later in the		suggestions for sources which we have	
	discussion).		read and included in the now-	
$\mathbf{C}$	I am coming at this from a British sociological	Literature	expanded literature review, centered	
	perspective where, I'm sure you are aware class	Review:	on social class and how it is best	
	is a super-contested concept. Rather than	Defining Social	understood in relation to the focus of	
	income/occupation-based models I am more	Class	our study.	
	convinced by Bourdieusian theory of social class			
	operationalise by the likes of Savage, Friedman,		We again attempted to maintain	
	Laurison, and Atkinson among others. Savage		brevity in deference to the word limit,	
	and Halford apply it specifically to technology		but we hope the reviewers will agree	
	in their 2010 paper "Reconceptualising Digital		that the discussion and definition of	
	Inequality". When you say, for example,		social class in the literature review is	
	"aspects of children's technology experiences		appropriately comprehensive, and the	
	that are distinctively working-class, rather than		integration of that literature	
	income-based" you could use Bourdieusian		throughout the findings and discussion	
	theory to explain how class is emergent and		sections as well.	
	contingent and write about how class is about			
	more than just income and how different forms			
	of capital manifest in your data.			

Reviewer	Reviewer Comments	Corresponding Section	Response from Authors	Page(s) Revised
С	I find your use Bronfenbrenner and Lareau convincing and rewarding. I enjoyed reading your paper and want to congratulate you on an excellent study.	Theoretical Foundations	We thank the Reviewer C for their assessment and enthusiasm for integrating Bronfenbrenner's ecological systems theory as the	4-7
В	The authors structure their research questions based on Bronfenbrenner's distinction of proximal and distal influences on children's' development. I'm not familiar with this reference and am not an expert in theories of child development. However, to this reader, it was unclear what these categories were adding to the analysis. The findings were less about children's development and much more about their current practices and constraints in their home contexts. This could be an artifact of the short literature review, but it didn't seem that necessary to this reader.	Theoretical Foundations	framework for our analysis.  We note, however, that Reviewer B was less sure of the merits of our approach. We have made substantive edits beginning at the bottom of page 4 to better contextualize the theoretical framework and our rationale for its use.	
В	The research design section starts with an excellent ethnographic description of the city selected but didn't describe how the authors thought about the link between the families living there and their identification as "working-class". The authors explain that the town is working-class, but did all families interviewed think of themselves that way? Maybe this sense of identity with a class doesn't matter for their analysis but explaining the ways they're conceptualizing and operationalizing this key term should be expanded.	Research Setting	We are glad you enjoyed the ethnographic description. We have included a representative quote from a parent interview at the end of that section (see bottom of page 7 and top of page 8) to make the explicit link between the city's history and interviewed families' identification with class-based social signifiers.	7-8

Reviewer	Reviewer Comments	Corresponding Section	Response from Authors	Page(s) Revised
С	When you gesture to the rust belt, I think it would be useful to mention how neoliberal economic policy transformed these areas and undermined the traditional signifiers and social infrastructure of class.	Research Setting	See language added to the Research Setting section, last paragraph on page 7.	7
В	Condense the lengthier description of the research design, site, reflexivity, etc. sections, and data analysis sections to make more room for the literature review.	Research Design	We have done our best to streamline these sections while still including additional information requested by Reviewer C (see directly below)	7-10
C	Did the same interviewer do all the interviews with the same questions? Was the interviewer white middle class? Did this make a difference? Was any adjustment made for the interviewees ability, age, language competencies?	Research Design	We have made it clearer in the Research Design that the two authors led a team of four researchers and the class backgrounds of all in the Researcher Positionality section on page 10.  All children were asked the same questions, as noted in the first paragraph on page 10. The limited age range of the children (grades 3 and 4) did not require individual adjustments for ability and language competencies	10

Reviewer	Reviewer Comments	Corresponding Section	Response from Authors	Page(s) Revised
В	I think you also need to explain why you have disaggregated skin colour from class in your methodology. Does being black/white intersect with, compound or mediate class advantage? If you control for income and education, does self-described race make a difference? If so, why?  Smaller point: I was unsure of what the inclusion of race & gender information was adding to the analysis. Since these variables aren't meaningfully discussed or incorporated into the analysis, it felt like a bit of an afterthought to include them. For example, the authors mention race being a factor in how they selected schools, and also include participants' racial identities in the findings section, but don't discuss the significance of race to the findings.  I'd suggest either more deeply incorporating this feature of their analysis— in the literature review by pointing out the significance of the very different trajectories of White and Black working-class neighborhoods in the wake of deindustrialization (e.g., William Julius Wilson) or on the cultural specificity of the White working class identity (Wuthnow, Williams, Willis)— these literatures go beyond narrower framing of this paper in inequalities & tech practices literatures, but could deepen the authors' articulation of why social class is essential to our understandings of the varieties of these practices.	Data Analysis  Data Analysis	We thank both reviewers for their convergent points re: disaggregating our findings by self-identified race (and as Reviewer B points out, by gender).  While we are well acquainted with the literature on intersections between race and working-class communities, we have decided not to cover it in this paper for two reasons: (a) to avoid muddying our key message about the importance of social class as an interpretive lens, and (b) because when we conducted comparisons of children's responses by racial and gender identity in the final stage of our data analysis (see pp. 11-12), we did not find meaningful differences.  We have therefore:  - Added footnote #5 (p. 12) noting that the final stage of data analysis did not reveal meaningful differences by racial identity and only modest differences by gender identity.  - Removed the racial information about school selection (p. 8), as we selected the district with many dimensions of diversity in mind. We agree it does not make sense to emphasize this aspect given we did not find racial differences.  - Removed racial identifiers when children are quoted in the Findings.	8; 11-12

Reviewer	Reviewer Comments	Corresponding Section	Response from Authors	Page(s) Revised
В	A clearer conceptualization of how the authors understand social class, and the working class as a unique category, will also be helpful in sharpening the findings. As its written now, it reads as if the authors focus on one factor or another in the working-class cluster of variables in their interpretation of children's quotes — e.g., Jacob & Isabella's practices getting around income constraints of some of their friends  For example, if paying attention to social class (as opposed to income alone) is important because it draws our attention to wider concerns about power and domination (drawing more on Olin Wright than Cherlin's understanding of social class) within examinations of everyday tech practices, then what can their findings tell us about the ways class relations shape these children's understandings of their tech use? For example, Avery — who deletes the YouTube app after seeing content she feels is out of line with her parents' guidelines of not downloading "bad" things. This suggests that these apps are set up for children being actively monitored (Lareau's "concerted cultivation"), leading some working-class children may sense that these apps are not "for them" and completely disengage. This sets up a dynamic where apps are designed for middle class kids, leaving working class children to decide if apps are for kids like them or not, further marginalizing their participation in these spaces and disadvantaging them in some ways. If this suggestion is out of line with how the authors are conceptualizing social class, please disregard! This is just meant to be a suggestion on how a clearer conceptualization of social can be brought into the findings section to sharpen analysis.	Findings	Reviewer B's suggestion is not out of line at all—in fact, it is fully aligned with what we are hoping to explain! We are very grateful to the reviewer for detailing this thought for us and will acknowledge this language as a reviewer suggestion in the authors' acknowledgments. Please see revisions to our analysis of Avery's experiences on pages 19-20 and 30.  Please also see pages 21-22 for revision of discussion around Jacob and Isabella's stories.	Avery: 19-20 and 30  Jacob & Isabella: 21-22

Reviewer	Reviewer Comments	Corresponding Section	Response from Authors	Page(s) Revised
В	Smaller point: The interpretation of Avery's quote on 25 felt like a bit of a stretch with the data presented. The interviewer suggests that her mom is "tired" and then the child repeats that yes, she's tired "BUT I think she might want a job that she can come home to happiness" – this quote is then interpreted as illustrating how parents tiring shift work can shape children's practices – but Avery didn't volunteer "tired" in the exchange presented and instead suggested "happiness" as something that was more important – which has a more ambiguous meaning than the way its interpreted. There are likely better quotes that will illustrate this excellent point.	Findings	We agree and we believe the reviewers will find the new examples from Chloe and Lucas to be much better fits.	25-27